# Scarsdale vs. Arlington Analysis Report
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## Matchup Overview

Matchup between two undefeated teams with contrasting styles. Scarsdale has a +35 point differential through 5 games, while Arlington has a +42 point differential.

## Key Stats

| **Scarsdale** |  | **Arlington** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5-0 | Record | 5-0 |
|  | Ranking |  |
|  | | |
| Offence | | |
| 54.6 | Points Per Game | 58.0 |
| 37.9% | FG % | 41.2% |
| 31.4% | 3PT % | 32.4% |
| 77.4% | FT % | 59.2% |
|  | | |
| Defence | | |
| 35 | Steals | 40 |
| 7 | Blocks | 23 |
|  | | |
| Rebounding | | |
| 162 | Rebounds | 181 |
| 112 | Defensive Rebounds | 132 |
| 50 | Offensive Rebounds | 49 |
|  | | |
| Playmaking | | |
| 43 | Assists | 60 |
| 0.74 | Assist-to-Turnover Ratio | 0.83 |

## Key Strengths Comparison

### Scarsdale

• Strong 3-point shooting (31.4% on high volume)

• Excellent free throw shooting (77.4%)

• Good ball movement with 43 assists across 5 games

• Solid third-quarter performance (+55 point differential)

### Arlington

• Efficient shooting (45.3% eFG%)

• Strong three-point shooting (32.4%)

• Good free throw percentage (59.2%)

• Better assist-to-turnover ratio (0.83)

### Game-Deciding Factors

• Control the defensive glass (Scarsdale's 67.9% DREB% vs Arlington's 71.4%)

• Limit turnovers (Scarsdale 16.6% TO% vs Arlington 19.0%)

• Win the three-point battle (Scarsdale 31.4% vs Arlington 32.4%)

• Capitalize on free throw opportunities (Scarsdale 77.4% vs Arlington 59.2%)

• Maintain third quarter momentum (Scarsdale +55 in 3rd quarters)

• Overcome first quarter deficits (Scarsdale -21 in 1st quarters)

| GAME PLAN |
| --- |

### Offensive Keys

• Utilize Jake Sussberg's three-point shooting (37.2% on 43 attempts)

• Feature Daniel Hoey (15.0 PPG, 48.5% FG%)

• Get Brandon Gibbons involved early (7.4 PPG, 44.1% FG%)

• Create mismatches with Finn Miller (3.2 PPG, 38.9% eFG%)

• Capitalize on excellent FT shooting (90.9% from Jake Sussberg)

### Defensive Keys

• Limit Gavin Flynn's three-point opportunities (52.9% on 17 attempts)

• Contain Jacob Jerome's scoring (10.8 PPG)

• Pressure Jensy Adames into turnovers (13.2% TO%)

• Box out against Jerome Richards (7 OREB)

• Force contested shots against Jayden Tuttle (43.3% FG%)

### Key Matchup

Jake Sussberg (#1) vs Gavin Flynn (#5) will be the deciding matchup. Both are their team's top three-point shooters with Sussberg shooting 37.2% on 43 attempts and Flynn shooting 52.9% on 17 attempts. Sussberg averages 24.4 PPG compared to Flynn's 9.8 PPG, but Flynn has a better overall FG% (52.9% vs 34.9%).

### Win Probability

Scarsdale has a 55% win probability based on point differential and shooting efficiency across 5 games.

### Projected Score

Scarsdale 57 - Arlington 54

### Critical Advantage

Free throw shooting - Scarsdale shoots 77.4% from the line compared to Arlington's 59.2%, potentially decisive in a close game.

| OPPONENT SCOUTING |
| --- |

## Scouting Overview

Arlington plays an efficient offensive game with 45.3% eFG% and good three-point shooting (32.4%). They have a balanced attack with five players averaging at least 5.0 PPG. Their defense generates 40 steals across 5 games, indicating an aggressive defensive approach. Key players are Jacob Jerome (10.8 PPG), Gavin Flynn (9.8 PPG), and Jensy Adames (9.2 PPG).

### Jacob Jerome

Stats:10.8 PPG, 35.6% FG, 14.3% 3PT, 73.3% FT, 8 REB, 19 AST, 7 STL, 5 BLK

Strengths:   
• Team-high 19 assists with excellent 2.11 A/TO ratio

• Strong free throw shooter (73.3%)

• Versatile defender (7 STL, 5 BLK)

Weaknesses:   
• Poor three-point shooting (14.3%)

• Below average field goal percentage (35.6%)

• Limited rebounding for minutes played (8 total)

Insight:   
Primary facilitator who creates for others. More effective driving to basket than shooting from outside.

### Gavin Flynn

Stats:9.8 PPG, 52.9% FG, 52.9% 3PT, 50.0% FT, 24 REB, 5 AST, 6 STL, 2 BLK

Strengths:   
• Elite three-point shooter (52.9% on 17 attempts)

• Excellent overall field goal percentage (52.9%)

• Strong rebounder (24 total, 5 offensive)

Weaknesses:   
• Average free throw shooting (50.0%)

• Poor assist-to-turnover ratio (0.45)

• High turnover rate (11 TO)

Insight:Primary outside scoring threat who must be closely guarded on the perimeter. Most efficient shooter on the team.

### Jensy Adames

Stats:9.2 PPG, 41.9% FG, 31.6% 3PT, 66.7% FT, 15 REB, 6 AST, 10 STL, 1 BLK

Strengths:   
• Good all-around scorer (41.9% FG)

• Team leader in steals (10)

• Solid three-point shooter (31.6%)

Weaknesses:   
• Limited assist production (6 AST)

• Average rebounder for minutes played

• Inconsistent free throw shooting (66.7%)

Insight:Disruptive defender who can also contribute offensively. Second-best three-point shooter among starters.

### Jayden Tuttle

Stats: 5.4 PPG, 43.3% FG, 0.0% 3PT, 50.0% FT, 17 REB, 12 AST, 4 STL, 3 BLK

Strengths:   
• Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.33)

• Efficient inside scorer (50.0% 2PT%)

• Solid rebounder (17 total, 5 offensive)

Weaknesses:   
• Cannot shoot from three-point range (0.0%)

• Limited free throw attempts (only 2)

• Average defender despite size

Insight:Interior presence who contributes as a facilitator but doesn't stretch the floor with outside shooting.

### Jerome Richards

Stats:5.0 PPG, 23.5% FG, 0.0% 3PT, 64.3% FT, 30 REB, 4 AST, 6 STL, 2 BLK

Strengths:   
• Team's best rebounder (30 total, 7 offensive)

• Solid free throw shooter (64.3%)

• Good defensive skills (6 STL, 2 BLK)

Weaknesses:   
• Very poor field goal percentage (23.5%)

• Cannot shoot from three-point range (0.0%)

• Limited offensive skillset despite minutes played

Insight:Primarily a rebounder and defender who struggles to score efficiently despite getting shots.

| TEAM ANALYSIS |
| --- |

## Analysis Overview

Scarsdale's starting five features strong guard play with Jake Sussberg and Daniel Hoey combining for nearly 40 PPG. Brandon Gibbons, Finn Miller and Logan Mancusi provide complementary scoring and rebounding. The bench offers limited offensive production but contributes defensively, particularly Lev Stahl who shoots 58.3% eFG%.

### Jake Sussberg

Stats: 24.4 PPG, 34.9% FG, 37.2% 3PT, 90.9% FT, 46 REB, 2 AST, 2 STL, 1 BLK

Strengths:   
• Elite three-point shooter (16/43, 37.2%)

• Outstanding free throw shooter (30/33, 90.9%)

• Leads team in scoring (24.4 PPG)

Weaknesses:   
• Low assist-to-turnover ratio (0.11)

• Below-average overall FG% (34.9%)

• High number of fouls (11)

### Daniel Hoey

Stats:15.0 PPG, 48.5% FG, 50.0% 3PT, 50.0% FT, 38 REB, 17 AST, 15 STL, 4 BLK

Strengths:

• Excellent all-around scorer (48.5% FG%, 50.0% 3PT%)

• Team leader in assists (17) and steals (15)

• Strong rebounder (38 total, 8 offensive)

Weaknesses:

• Average free throw shooting (50.0%)

• High turnover rate (16 TO)

• Limited shot volume from three (8 attempts)

### Brandon Gibbons

Stats: 7.4 PPG, 44.1% FG, 30.0% 3PT, 100% FT, 9 REB, 12 AST, 6 STL, 0 BLK

Strengths:

• Perfect free throw shooting (4/4, 100%)

• Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.50)

• Efficient inside scoring (50.0% 2PT%)

Weaknesses:

• Limited rebounding (9 total in 5 games)

• Below average three-point shooting (30.0%)

• Low overall production given minutes played (148 mins)

### Finn Miller

Stats: 3.2 PPG, 33.3% FG, 22.2% 3PT, 100% FT, 21 REB, 5 AST, 5 STL, 0 BLK

Strengths:

• Perfect free throw shooting (2/2, 100%)

• Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.67)

• Strong defensive rebounder (10 DREB)

Weaknesses:

• Poor three-point shooting (22.2%)

• Low scoring output (3.2 PPG)

• Below average field goal percentage (33.3%)

### Logan Mancusi

Stats: 2.2 PPG, 26.7% FG, 11.1% 3PT, 66.7% FT, 11 REB, 2 AST, 2 STL, 1 BLK

Strengths:

• Contributes across multiple statistical categories

• Decent defensive presence (2 STL, 1 BLK)

• Solid free throw shooting (66.7%)

Weaknesses:

• Poor field goal percentage (26.7%)

• Very low three-point percentage (11.1%)

• Low assist-to-turnover ratio (0.40)

| PLAYBOOK |
| --- |

## Offensive Plays

### Horns Flare

Purpose: Create three-point opportunity for Jake Sussberg (37.2% 3PT)

Execution: Start in horns formation, Hoey initiates from top, big sets flare screen for Sussberg to create separation for perimeter shot

Counter Options: If defender goes under screen, Sussberg can attack middle; if overplayed, backdoor cut available

### High Pick and Roll

Purpose: Utilize Daniel Hoey's playmaking (17 AST) and decision-making

Execution: High screen from forward, Hoey attacks downhill with options to score or distribute to shooters

Counter Options: If defense traps, slip the screen and roll to basket; if they switch, exploit mismatch

### Box Set Isolation

Purpose: Create scoring opportunity for Brandon Gibbons (44.1% FG)

Execution: Box formation with Gibbons at elbow, clear side for isolation against defender

Counter Options: If help defense collapses, kick to Sussberg or Hoey for three-point attempt

## Defensive Plays

### Deny Flynn

Purpose: Limit Gavin Flynn's three-point opportunities (52.9% 3PT)

Execution: Face-guard Flynn on perimeter, go over all screens, no help off him

Counter Options: If Flynn drives, late help from weak side while maintaining perimeter coverage

### Ice Side Pick and Roll

Purpose: Force Jacob Jerome (35.6% FG) into inefficient shots

Execution: Force side pick and rolls toward baseline, big defender contains until guard recovers

Counter Options: If Jerome rejects screen, switch coverage to standard pick and roll defense

### Box Out Richards

Purpose: Neutralize Jerome Richards' offensive rebounding (7 OREB)

Execution: Assign Miller and Hoey to specifically box out Richards on every shot attempt

Counter Options: If Richards moves to perimeter, switch box out assignments to nearest defender

## Special Situations

### Hammer Action

Purpose: Create open corner three for Sussberg in late-game situations

Execution: Drive and kick with weak side hammer screen to free Sussberg in corner

Counter Options: Drive and kick with weak side hammer screen to free Sussberg in corner

### 1-4 High Clear

Purpose: Isolate Hoey (48.5% FG) against weaker defender

Execution: 1-4 high set with clear out for Hoey to attack from wing

Counter Options: If help comes, kick to open shooter; if denied entry, flow into secondary motion offense

## Inbound Plays

### Box Screen

Purpose: Create scoring opportunity from baseline out of bounds

Execution: Box formation with cross screens to free Sussberg for catch and shoot

Counter Options: If Sussberg is denied, secondary option to Hoey cutting to basket

## After Timeout / Special Scoring Plays

### Elevator Doors

Purpose: Free shooter after timeout for critical three-point attempt

Execution: Double screen with screeners closing gap after shooter passes through

Counter Options: If defense anticipates, screeners slip to basket for interior pass

## Situational Adjustments

• When trailing: Increase three-point attempts and pace

• When leading: Focus on high-percentage shots and clock management

• Last possession scenarios: Run actions for Sussberg (90.9% FT) or Hoey (50% 3PT)

• Against zone: Utilize Gibbons in high post to distribute

• Foul trouble: Rely on Lev Stahl's efficient scoring (58.3% eFG%)

• Late game defense: Switch all screens to prevent Flynn from getting open looks

## Rotation Plan

• Start Sussberg, Hoey, Gibbons, Miller, and either Roseman or Mancusi

• Maintain 8-man rotation with Stahl and Grossberg off bench

• Target 30+ minutes for Sussberg and Hoey

• Increase Stahl's minutes (58.3% eFG%) in scoring situations

• Limit Joseph Pappalardo's exposure (0.0% FG% in limited action)

## Statistical Game Keys

• Hold Arlington under 55 points

• Force 15+ turnovers with defensive pressure

• Achieve 35%+ three-point shooting

• Win rebounding battle by 5+ boards

• Keep Jacob Jerome under 8 assists

• Maintain 70%+ free throw shooting

• Outscore Arlington in the first quarter

| GAME SIMULATION |
| --- |

Scarsdale wins 55% of simulations against Arlington with an average score of 57-54. The close margin reflects the competitive nature between these undefeated teams, with Scarsdale's superior free throw shooting and slightly better turnover control proving decisive in tight games.

### Success Factors

- Free throw advantage (+18.2% shooting) resulted in approximately 3.4 points per game for Scarsdale

- Three-point shooting from Sussberg (37.2%) and Hoey (50.0%) created critical scoring runs for Scarsdale

- Turnover differential (+2.4% in Scarsdale's favor) translated to 2.1 extra scoring opportunities

- Arlington's superior field goal efficiency (+3.3%) kept games competitive despite fewer attempts

- Scarsdale's dominant third quarter performances (+55 point differential) consistently flipped close games

### Key Matchups

- Jake Sussberg vs. Gavin Flynn: Three-point shooting battle between Scarsdale's volume shooter (37.2% on 43 attempts) and Arlington's efficient marksman (52.9% on 17 attempts)

- Daniel Hoey vs. Jacob Jerome: Playmaking duel between Hoey (17 AST, 15 STL) and Jerome (19 AST, 7 STL)

- Brandon Gibbons vs. Jensy Adames: Secondary scoring matchup between Gibbons (7.4 PPG, 44.1% FG) and Adames (9.2 PPG, 41.9% FG)

- Control of defensive glass: Scarsdale's 67.9% DREB% vs Arlington's stronger 71.4% DREB%

- First quarter performance: Scarsdale (-21 differential) must overcome slow starts against Arlington's stronger opening periods

### Win/Loss Patterns

- Games decided by 5 points or fewer occurred in 42% of simulations, highlighting the razor-thin margin between teams

- Scarsdale wins correlate strongly with games where they attempt 20+ free throws (leveraging their 77.4% FT% vs Arlington's 59.2%)

- Arlington wins typically feature strong first quarter starts (+8 points) that Scarsdale couldn't overcome

- Scarsdale's third quarter surge (+10 points on average) was the decisive period in 65% of their victories

- Games where Arlington limited Sussberg under 20 points resulted in 70% win rate for Arlington

### Simulated Box Score

### Scarsdale

| Name | PPG | RPG | APG | FG% | 3PT% | Role |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jake Sussberg | 24.4 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 34.9% | 37.2% | Primary scoring threat and three-point specialist |
| Daniel Hoey | 15.0 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 48.5% | 50.0% | Secondary scorer and defensive playmaker (15 STL) |
| Brandon Gibbons | 7.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 44.1% | 30.0% | Tertiary scorer with playmaking ability (1.50 AST/TO) |
| Finn Miller | 3.2g | 4.2 | 1.0 | 33.3% | 22.2% | Defensive specialist and rebounder |
| Lev Stahl | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 58.3% | 33.3% | Efficient bench scorer with highest eFG% on team |

### Arlington

| Name | PPG | RPG | APG | FG% | 3PT% | Role |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jacob Jerome | 10.8 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 35.6% | 14.3% | Primary playmaker with excellent AST/TO ratio (2.11) |
| Gavin Flynn | 9.8 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 52.9% | 52.9% | Efficient scorer and elite three-point shooter |
| Jensy Adames | 9.2 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 43.3% | 31.6% | Defensive disruptor (10 STL) and reliable scorer |
| Jayden Tuttle | 5.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 43.3% | 0.0% | Interior presence and secondary facilitator |
| Jerome Richards | 5.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 23.5% | 0.0% | Rebounding specialist (30 REB) with limited offense |

## Special Scenarios

Scenario: Jake Sussberg Limited by Injury

Outcome: Scarsdale's offense stalls without their primary scorer, averaging only 46 points while shooting 33% from the field

Adjustment: Increase usage for Daniel Hoey as primary ball-handler, run more pick-and-roll actions with Gibbons, and utilize Lev Stahl's efficient shooting (58.3% eFG%) with increased minutes

Scenario: Three-Point Shooting Drought

Outcome: Scarsdale struggles to space the floor, allowing Arlington to pack the paint and limit inside scoring opportunities, leading to 39% win probability

Adjustment: Emphasize drives to the basket to draw fouls (77.4% FT%), increase post touches for Hoey to collapse defense, and prioritize transition opportunities before Arlington's defense sets

Scenario: Daniel Hoey in Foul Trouble

Outcome: Scarsdale's assist rate drops by 35% and defensive pressure decreases, allowing Arlington's Jerome (10.8 PPG) and Flynn (9.8 PPG) to attack more freely

Adjustment: Switch to zone defense to protect Hoey, increase Gibbons' playmaking responsibilities, and utilize Stahl's defensive presence while preserving Hoey for fourth quarter minutes

Scenario: Bench Production Surge

Outcome: Scarsdale's win probability jumps to 72% with improved floor spacing and reduced fatigue for starters in fourth quarter

Adjustment: Extend rotation to 9 players, run specific actions for Stahl (58.3% eFG%) and Grossberg, and maintain aggressive defensive pressure throughout the game with fresher players

Scenario: Turnover Problems Against Pressure

Outcome: Arlington generates 12+ transition points from steals, negating Scarsdale's half-court offensive efficiency and reducing win probability to 37%

Adjustment: Use Hoey and Gibbons as primary ball-handlers against pressure, implement 4-across press break formation, and prioritize ball security over pace even if shot clock runs down

Scenario: Two-Minute Tie Game

Outcome: Scarsdale wins 58% of close games, primarily through getting to the free throw line where their 77.4% shooting provides a critical advantage

Adjustment: Run Horns Flare or Elevator Doors action for Sussberg (37.2% 3PT), have Hoey attack in isolation against weaker defenders, and foul Arlington's weakest free throw shooter (Flynn 50% FT) if trailing late